

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ΑF	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
	09/833,865	04/12/2001	Francois Breynaert	60130-1052/00MRA0213	5202	
	26096	7590 01/03/2003				
	CARLSON,	GASKEY & OLDS, P.O.	C.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SUITE 350	APLE ROAD		LE, DA	LE, DANG D	
	BIRMINGHA	AM, MI 48009		ART UNIT		
				2834		
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MV				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10.0				
Advisory Action	09/833,865	BREYNAERT ET AL					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dang D Le	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 Dece</u> Examiner.	<u>mber 2002</u> is a)⊠ approved or	b) disapproved	by the				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
12/12/02	Jany d. C						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim is still poorly written for not identifying the "current supply source" clearly. The term "current supply source" is usually used to identify the source of current such as a battery not terminal nor electronic circuit. As a result, the "current supply source" must not be interpreted as an electronic circuit. Moreover, although amended, the specification still does not define that the current supply source is an electronic circuit. In addition, during prosecution the claims must be interpreted as broad as possible. Therefore, even if the specification defines that the electronic circuit is the current supply source, the current supply source can still be interpreted as an terminal or a battery. The rejection of claims 1-16 is not improper because although the specification describes the structural relationship of the electronic circuit (meant to be the current supply source in claim 1) and the magnetic flux conduction member, the claims do not clearly recite such structual relationship. In the art of motor and generator, it is well known to have the terminals mounted on the circuit board to provide current to the motor. In the last office action, the examiner interpreted the current supply source as terminals. As a result, the rejection is still deemed proper.